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• Compared with low back pain, there are relatively few quality trials evaluating cervical pain and still fewer that evaluate work-related cervical pain.

• We know more about The low back
New Guidelines

- **Bone and Joint Decade**
  - JOEM 2010; 52 (4): 424-7
- **Spine 2008; 33 (4S): S1-S220**
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  - Methodology
  - Best Evidence on the Burden and Determinants of Neck Pain
  - Best Evidence on the Course and Prognostic Factors for Neck Pain
  - Best Evidence on Assessment and Intervention for Neck Pain
  - Supplementary Research Studies
  - Implications and Recommendations From the Neck Pain Task Force
Bone and Joint Decade

• The Bone and Joint Decade 2000 to 2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders began its work in 2000, with the mandate to study neck pain and disorders associated with neck pain and make recommendations that would reduce the health consequences of neck pain.

  – an initiative of the United Nations and the World Health Organization
Bone and Joint Decade

- The Neck Pain Task Force completed its deliberations and published its findings as a supplement in the journal *Spine* in 2008
    - 220 pages
Bone and Joint Decade

• The Task Force consisted of a
  – 5 member Executive Committee,
  – 13-member Scientific Secretariat,
  – 17-member Advisory Committee,
  – 18 research associates and graduate students.

• Task Force members originated from 9 countries and represented 14 clinical and scientific disciplines or specialties.
Bone and Joint Decade

• The literature published from 1980 to 2006 was searched using a sensitive rather than specific search strategy.

• This yielded 31,878 citations, and after screening these citations for relevance to the Neck Pain Task Force mandate, 1203 articles were found, which met the relevance criteria.
Bone and Joint Decade

• The 552 studies judged to have adequate internal validity were entered into evidence tables, from which we developed our best-evidence syntheses on the following:
  – the burden and determinants of neck pain in the general population, in workers, and in whiplash-associated disorders (WAD);
  – the course and prognostic factors for neck pain in the general population, in workers, and in WAD;
  – assessment of neck pain; and
  – surgical and nonsurgical interventions in neck pain.
Key Findings From the Task Force

Epidemiology of Neck Pain and Risk Factors
Bone and Joint Decade

• **Most people** can expect to experience some neck pain in their lifetimes, although for the majority, neck pain will not seriously interfere with normal activities.

• Nevertheless, a **significant minority** will develop **recurrent** neck pain, and some will develop associated disability
Bone and Joint Decade

• Analyses of risk factors for neck pain suggest that this disorder has a multifactorial etiology. **Non-modifiable** risk factors for neck pain included
  – *age* (the incidence of neck pain peaks in the middle years, then decreases),
  – *female gender*, and
  – genetics.

• There is **no evidence** that common **degenerative changes** in the cervical spine **are a risk factor for neck pain**.
Bone and Joint Decade

• **Modifiable risk factors** for neck pain include:
  – psychologic health,
  – smoking,
  – exposure to environmental tobacco,
  – physical activity participation (protective).
Bone and Joint Decade

- **In the workplace,**
  - repetitive and precision work,
  - sedentary work position (ie, prolonged standing, sitting, or doing computer work),
  - working with the cervical spine in flexion for prolonged periods of time,
  - poor keyboard position (eg, keyboard positioned too close to the desktop edge),
  - mouse position requiring flexion of shoulders of more than 25 degrees),
  - use of chairs without armrests,
  - using telephone shoulder rests,
  - using a computer monitor requiring poor head posture (eg, a head tilt of more than 3 degrees),
  - high levels of psychologic job strain,
  - low coworker social support, and
  - job insecurity

*increased the risk of neck pain, NOT the risk of neck pathology.*
Bone and Joint Decade

• Nevertheless, there is a lack of evidence that workplace interventions aimed at modifying workstations and worker posture were effective in reducing the incidence of neck pain in workers.

• One of Austin Bradford-Hill’s causation criteria is that if a “proposed” risk factor is involved in causation, decreasing or eliminating the risk factor should decrease the disease incidence.
Bone and Joint Decade

• **Eliminating insurance payments for pain and suffering** is associated with a **lower incidence of whiplash claims and faster recovery** from symptoms.

• Motor **vehicle head restraint devices** aimed at limiting head extension during rear-end collisions were found to have a **preventive effect**, especially for women.
Bone and Joint Decade

Course and Prognosis of Neck Pain
Most people with neck pain do not experience a complete resolution of symptoms.

Between 50% and 85% of those who experience neck pain at some initial point will report neck pain again 1 to 5 years later.

These numbers appear to be similar in the general population, in workers, and in patients after motor vehicle crashes.
Bone and Joint Decade

- Poor health and prior neck pain episodes were associated with a poorer prognosis in workers and in the general population.

- In the general population, poor psychologic health, worrying, and becoming angry or frustrated in response to neck pain were all associated with poorer prognosis, and greater optimism and a coping style that involves self-assurance and having less need to socialize were all associated with better prognosis.
Bone and Joint Decade

• Specific workplace or physical job demands were not linked with recovery from neck pain in workers.

• The evidence suggests that workers who engaged in general exercise and sporting activities were more likely to experience improvement in neck pain, although this needs more study.
Bone and Joint Decade

• There is also evidence that neck injury claims that involve tort compensation systems (ie, payment for pain and suffering) and legal representation factors have a poorer prognosis for recovery from WAD.
• 1993-1996 enrolled 508 WAD patients and 497 matched controls. Baseline MRI.

• **10 years later, restudied both groups.**

• **Conclusion.** The results of this study suggest that, although some WAD patients are more likely to suffer from long-lasting neck pain, **MRI findings can not explain the symptoms.**
No clear pathologic explanation for symptoms
Bone and Joint Decade

Assessment and Diagnosis of Neck Pain
Bone and Joint Decade

• There is currently **no validated set of “red flags”** to be used to rule out serious pathology when triaging patients with no exposure to blunt trauma.

• The Neck Pain Task Force suggests an **extrapolation of** existing **recommendations for ruling out serious conditions affecting the lumbar spine.**
• **Serious diseases** to consider include (but are not limited to):
  
  – pathologic fractures (eg, resulting from decreased bone density caused by osteoporosis or corticosteroid treatment);
  
  – neoplasms (eg, previous history of cancer, unexplained weight loss);
  
  – failure to improve after a month of evidence-based therapy;
  
  – cervical myelopathy; [data now exists for this diagnosis]
  
  – systemic diseases (eg, inflammatory arthritis);
  
  – Infections;
  
  – prior neck surgery.
Figure 5.1. The medical doctor, like Sherlock Holmes, must search out and correlate all available data in order to solve the mystery of a diagnosis.
Carragee: The Spine Journal 2008: 8; 311-319
“Validity of self-reported history in patients with acute back or neck pain after motor vehicle accidents”

• “The accuracy of self-reported previous axial pain history and comorbid conditions after MVA has not been systematically evaluated but has been assumed to be high.”

• 422 consecutive patients seen at the Stanford spine clinic completed standardized assessments.
  – Responses (History) compared to US DHHS surveys for prevalence
  – Responses for randomly selected 100 patients compared to their PRIOR medical records.
    • Diligent search for prior medical records
Conclusions

In patients being seen for continued pain related to an MVA, the validity of self-reported previous axial pain and comorbid conditions appeared poor. The self-reported prevalence of previous axial pain and drug, alcohol, and psychological problems is much less than the documented prevalence in prior medical records. These rates were also markedly below the expected prevalence in age- and sex-matched populations. This effect was seen most prominently in patients perceiving the accident to be another party’s fault and in those filing compensation claims. The failure to appreciate previous axial pain problems and drug, alcohol, and psychological problems may compromise patient care and public health opportunities.
Carragee’s Next Prospective Study

*The Spine Journal* 2009; 9: 4-13

STUDY DESIGN: A prospective, multiclinic validation study examining the critical elements of a patient’s self-reported history after an MVA judged against an audit of his or her medical records.

PATIENT SAMPLE: A cohort of consecutive patients with persistent axial pain after an MVA was prospectively identified from five spine-specialist’s outpatient clinics.

Of 702 patients, 335 subjects were randomly selected for auditing of their medical records.
RESULTS: Overall, approximately 50% of the subjects were found to have previous axial pain problems at audit when none was reported to the spine-specialist after an MVA. Similarly, approximately 75% of the subjects were found to have one or more preexisting comorbid conditions at audit that were not reported during the evaluation after the MVA (alcohol abuse, illicit drug use, and psychological diagnosis).
Fig. 2. Self-reported vs. audited comorbidities for the “No Fault” subjects (*p<.01).
Fig. 3. Self-reported vs. audited comorbidities for the “Perceived Fault” subjects (* [all items] p<.001).
Fig. 1. Self-reported comorbidities for “No Fault” and “Perceived Fault” subjects (*p<.0001, **p=.001–0.05).
CONCLUSION: The validity of the patient’s self-reported history when presenting with persistent axial pain after an MVA appears poor in this large multiclinic random sample. The self-reported rates of alcohol abuse, illicit drug use, and psychological diagnosis, as well as prior axial pain were significantly lower than that seen in the medical records, especially in those who perceive that the MVA was another’s fault.
• CONCLUSION: The failure to recognize this under-reporting may seriously compromise clinical care, public health efforts at injury prevention, and research protocols dependent on accurate pre-accident morbidity assessments.
Bone and Joint Decade

Treatments for Neck Pain
(Noninvasive and Invasive)
Bone and Joint Decade

• A number of nonsurgical treatments (listed below) seemed to be more beneficial than usual care, sham, or alternative interventions, but none of the active treatments were clearly superior to any other in the short- or long-term.

• There is no evidence that a particular course of care with any intervention improves the prognosis for WAD or non-WAD neck pain, although there is evidence that high health care utilization in the first month after a traffic collision may slow down recovery in WAD.
Bone and Joint Decade

• A comparison of nonsurgical neck pain treatments suggests no important differences among the five treatments.
  – Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs
  – Cox-2 nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs
  – Exercise
  – Mobilization
  – Manipulation
Bone and Joint Decade

- **For WAD**, educational videos, **mobilization**, and **exercises** seem more beneficial than usual care or passive modalities alone.
- There is **evidence** that educational pamphlets, corticosteroid injections, **passive modalities** (such as transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, ultrasound, diathermy), and use of **collars** are **not effective**.
Bone and Joint Decade

- The evidence for acupuncture was less clear (i.e., some studies reported a benefit, whereas others reported no benefit).

- Overall, there seems to be some benefit of acupuncture in treatment of neck pain, although this should be further assessed in large, well-conducted intervention studies.
Bone and Joint Decade

• For both WAD and other neck pain without radicular symptoms, the evidence supports interventions involving active therapy, combined with education emphasizing self-management and return to normal function as soon as possible, rather than interventions without such a focus.
Manipulation and Stroke
Vertebrobasilar Artery Stroke Study Findings

- Vertebrobasilar artery (VBA) stroke is a **rare event**.
- There was an **association** between receiving chiropractic care and subsequent VBA stroke in persons younger than 45 years of age.
- There was a **similar association** between receiving care from general practitioners and subsequent VBA stroke in this age group.
- This is likely **explained by** patients with VBA dissection related neck pain and/or headache seeking **health care** from chiropractors and general practitioners before having their stroke.
- **Thus, there is no** additional risk associated with chiropractic care.
Bone and Joint Decade

• There is a lack of evidence about the harms or benefits of noninvasive interventions for neck pain with radiculopathy.
There is evidence for short-term symptomatic improvement of radicular symptoms with epidural or selective root injections with corticosteroids, but these treatments did not appear to decrease the rate of surgery for decompression of cervical nerve roots.
Fluoroscopic Epidural Injections in Cervical Spinal Stenosis: Preliminary Results of a Randomized, Double-Blind, Active Control Trial

Methods: Patients with cervical central spinal stenosis were randomly assigned to one of 2 groups: injection of local anesthetic only or local anesthetic mixed with non-particulate betamethasone.

Sixty patients were included in this analysis. Randomization was performed by computer-generated random allocation sequence.

Results: Significant pain relief was seen in 73% in Group I and 70% in Group II, in Group II showing both significant pain relief and functional status improvements.

Group I’s average relief per procedure was 11.3 ± 5.8 weeks; for Group II it was 8.6 ± 3.6 weeks
Bone and Joint Decade

- There is evidence that **surgical treatment of cervical radiculopathy** because of nerve root impingement results in relatively rapid and substantial relief of pain and impairment in the **short-term** (6 to 12 weeks after surgery).

- However, it is **not clear** from the evidence that **long-term outcomes are improved** with the surgical treatment of cervical radiculopathy compared with non-operative measures.
Table 3. NFL Players Diagnosed With a Cervical Disc Herniation Classified by Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defensive lineman</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offensive lineman</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linebacker</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defensive back</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running back</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tight end</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide receiver</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterback</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kicker</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of players expected is based on the number of players on a typical National Football League roster. Aside from the position of defensive back, the number of players in this study closely matched that of a typical NFL roster. NFL indicates National Football League.
Figure 3. Return-to-play rates and career length in NFL players after operative or nonoperative treatment of a cervical disc herniation. Athletes in the operative cohort demonstrated higher return-to-play rates and longer careers than those from the nonsurgical group. RTP indicates return to play.
Cervicogenic Headache?

• Riina J, et al. Cervical Radiculopathy or Myelopathy Associated Headaches. *JBJS* 2009; 91; 1919-23

• From Prospective Study on ACDF vs ADR

• Conclusions: At two years postoperatively, patients undergoing anterior cervical operations, both those who have an arthroplasty and those who have an arthrodesis, for cervical radiculopathy and myelopathy can be expected to have significant improvement from baseline with regard to headache symptoms.
TABLE III Distribution of Headache Grades Between Groups Preoperatively and at Twenty-four Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Group Managed with Arthroplasty</th>
<th>Group Managed with Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preop. (N = 518)</td>
<td>24 Mo (N = 430)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>68 (13.13%)</td>
<td>162 (36.67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>85 (16.41%)</td>
<td>123 (28.60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>94 (18.15%)</td>
<td>68 (15.81%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>120 (23.17%)</td>
<td>44 (10.23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>91 (17.57%)</td>
<td>21 (4.88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>60 (11.58%)</td>
<td>12 (2.79%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II Headache Question from Neck Disability Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Headaches*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>I have no headaches at all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I have slight headaches that come infrequently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I have moderate headaches that come infrequently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I have moderate headaches that come frequently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I have severe headaches that come frequently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I have headaches almost all of the time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bone and Joint Decade

• **Early results** from trials of *cervical disc arthroplasty* (artificial disc replacement) appear to show **1- to 2-year outcomes** for radicular symptoms that are **similar to** outcomes for **anterior fusion surgery**.

• There is **no evidence** to support the use of cervical disc arthroplasty in patients with **neck pain** who **do not have primary radicular pain**.
Spine 2011; 36 (3): E203-E212

- **Vignettes** given to (international) Degenerative Spine Study Group SURGEONS \( n=17 \) surgeons
- **First survey** = recommendations for a Patient
- **Second column** = recommendations for **YOUR** Family Member

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 2: Cervical herniated disc</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Nonoperative treatment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Surgical decompression</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Surgical decompression with fusion</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Cervical disc replacement</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 3: Cervical spondylotic stenosis</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Nonoperative treatment</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Surgical decompression</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Surgical decompression with fusion</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **31 “Scientifically Admissible” Articles**
  – 27 primary studies, and 4 systematic reviews
  – 17 RCTs, 8 Prospective cohort studies,
    2 “extraordinary case series” accepted as scientific.

• Includes detailed analyses of
  “Frequently Cited but Scientifically Inadmissible Studies”
  – Thus, a useful resource for IME or file review physician if another physician cites one of these studies as “support” for an intervention.
Frequently Cited but **Scientifically Inadmissible**: Neck Pain

Frequently Cited but Scientifically Inadmissible: Neck Pain

- Although these studies are frequently cited as demonstrating clear efficacy of cervical fusion for primary neck pain, none of these were found to be scientifically admissible by the Neck Pain Task Force.

- Instead, after critical review of the methods and data we found no clinical evidence, even in the best known studies purporting definitive efficacy, to support the use of either cervical fusion or cervical disc arthroplasty in patients with neck pain without radiculopathy or serious underlying pathology.
Frequently Cited but Scientifically Inadmissible: Neck Pain

• It is well documented that neck pain without serious underlying disease shows wide and spontaneous variations—both in severity and any accompanying impairment.

• Thus, none of these frequently cited, uncontrolled studies can confidently estimate how much, if any, of the reported improvement was due to a surgical intervention, how much was due to natural history, and how much might be explained by various nonspecific and unidentified factors.
Surgery is done when patients are “at their worst”, assessment is done later, when natural cycling of symptoms would suggest improvement, even if surgery is ineffective.

What if sham surgery is done here?

Natural cyclic history of back pain getting better and worse
Real Science: RCTs

Table 1. Studies Reporting Short- and Medium-Term Validated Outcomes of Open Surgery for Cervical Radiculopathy in Subjects When Followed by Independent Observer at Fixed Follow-Up Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author (Yr)</th>
<th>Short-Term Outcomes</th>
<th>Medium-Term Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hacker et al (2000)</td>
<td>6 mo post-op Mean pain score improved 3/10 (approx. 40%)</td>
<td>2 yr Mean pain score improved 3/10 (approx. 40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baskin et al (2003)</td>
<td>6–12 wk post-op Mean pain score improved 3.5–4.5 (neck) (approx. 60%) 4.5–7 (arm) (approx. 80%)</td>
<td>Mean pain score improved 4.5–6.5 (neck pain) (approx. 75%) 4.5–7 (arm pain) (approx. 80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hacker (2006)</td>
<td>33–39 points (approx. 65%)</td>
<td>37–63 points (approx. 70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coric et al (2006)</td>
<td>3.2–4.0 (neck) (approx. 55%) 3.6–4.5 (arm) (approx. 65%) NDI Mean NDI improvement 20–28 points (approx. 60%) PCS of SF-36 12–15 points</td>
<td>3.2–5.0 (neck) (approx. 55%) 3.0–4.8 (arm) (approx. 65%) NDI Mean NDI improvement 22–32 points (75%) PCS of SF-36 15–18 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NDI indicates neck disability index; PCS, physical component score.

Table 5. Noninvasive Interventions for Whiplash-Associated Disorders (WAD) and Other Neck Disorders, by Type of Population and Likelihood of Being Helpful in the Short Term: The Bone and Joint Decade 2000–2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Likely Helpful (Worth Considering)</th>
<th>Possibly Helpful (Might Consider)</th>
<th>Likely Not Helpful (Not Worth Considering)</th>
<th>Not Enough Evidence to Make Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute WAD (Grade I or II neck pain)</td>
<td>Educational video</td>
<td>Pulsed electromagnetic therapy</td>
<td>Pamphlet/neck booklet alone</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td></td>
<td>Collars</td>
<td>Traction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exercises</td>
<td></td>
<td>Passive modalities (heat, cold, diathermy, hydrotherapy)</td>
<td>NSAIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobilization + exercises</td>
<td></td>
<td>Referral to fitness or rehab program</td>
<td>Other drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Frequent early health-care use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-acute WAD (Grade I or II neck pain)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Supervised exercises</td>
<td>Passive modalities (TENS, ultrasound)</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coordinated multidisciplinary care</td>
<td></td>
<td>Corticosteroid injections</td>
<td>Traction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck pain not associated with WAD (Grade I or II)</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>Percutaneous neuromodular therapy</td>
<td>Advice alone</td>
<td>NSAIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>Brief intervention using cognitive behavioral principles</td>
<td>Collars</td>
<td>Other drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervised exercises</td>
<td></td>
<td>Passive modalities (heat therapy, ultrasound, TENS, electrical muscle stimulation)</td>
<td>Traction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manual therapy (manipulation, mobilization, massage) plus exercises</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise instruction</td>
<td>NSAIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acupuncture</td>
<td></td>
<td>Botulinum toxin A</td>
<td>Other drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low-level laser therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analgesics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck pain with radiation or cervical radiculopathy (Grade III)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervicogenic headache</td>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Passive modalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Traction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervised exercises</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSAIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manual therapy (manipulation or mobilization) plus supervised exercises</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water pillow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck pain in workers (Grade I or II)</td>
<td>Supervised exercises plus strength or endurance training and/or relaxation training with behavioral support</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ergonomic interventions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Forced work breaks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rehabilitation programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stress management programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Relaxation training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise instruction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Likely Helpful (Worth Considering)</th>
<th>Possibly Helpful (Might Consider)</th>
<th>Likely Not Helpful (Not Worth Considering)</th>
<th>Not Enough Evidence to Make Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV neck pain with serious structural pathology (unstable fracture, infection, tumor, vascular injury, etc.)</td>
<td>Beyond the NPTF mandate</td>
<td>Aggressive surgical treatment of many of these conditions is generally accepted as effective and often strongly advised. Readers are referred to literature of specific pathological conditions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III neck pain (with cervical radiculopathy)</td>
<td>ACD (short-term*)</td>
<td>Limited (&lt;4 injections) root or epidural corticosteroid injections (short-term*)</td>
<td>Thermal heating of the dorsal root ganglion</td>
<td>Multilevel cervical disc replacement (long-term efficacy and safety)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACDF (short-term*)</td>
<td>ACDF + instrumentation/cages (short-term*)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spinal cord stimulator implantation or implantable intrathecal narcotic pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single-level cervical disc replacement (short-term*)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc nucleoplasty or anuloplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I or II. Axial neck pain without radiculopathy (without serious underlying structural pathology)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Corticosteroid injections to cervical facets</td>
<td>RF Neurotomy to cervical facets nerves with confirmed zygapophyseal pain³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RF Neurotomy to cervical facets nerves without confirmed zygapophyseal pain²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical decompression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical fusion (comorbidities absent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical disc replacement²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spinal cord stimulator implantation or implantable intrathecal narcotic pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc nucleoplasty or anuloplasty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Likely Helpful (Worth Considering)</th>
<th>Possibly Helpful (Might Consider)</th>
<th>Likely Not Helpful (Not Worth Considering)</th>
<th>Not Enough Evidence to Make Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WAD-related axial neck pain without fracture, dislocation, or instability</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Corticosteroid injections to cervical facets</td>
<td>RF Neurotomy to cervical facets nerves with confirmed zygapophyseal pain³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RF Neurotomy to cervical facets nerves without confirmed zygapophyseal pain³</td>
<td>Craniocervical or upper cervical fusion³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical decompression</td>
<td>Cervical fusion comorbidities absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical fusion or disc replacement (comorbidities present⁴)</td>
<td>Cervical disc replacement²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spinal cord stimulator implantation or implantable intrathecal narcotic pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc nucleoplasty or endiplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervicogenic headache without serious underlying structural pathology</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Corticosteroid injections to cervical facets</td>
<td>RF Neurotomy to cervical facets nerves with confirmed zygapophyseal pain³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Likely Helpful (Worth Considering)</th>
<th>Possibly Helpful (Might Consider)</th>
<th>Likely Not Helpful (Not Worth Considering)</th>
<th>Not Enough Evidence to Make Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RF Neurotomy to cervical facets nerves without confirmed zygapophyseal pain&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cervical decompression</td>
<td>Cervical fusion or disc replacement (comorbidities present&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Craniocervical or upper cervical fusion&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical fusion comorbidities absent</td>
<td>Cervical disc replacement&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cervical fusion or craniocervical fusion for asymmetric alar, transverse or other upper cervical ligaments as seen on MRI or functional CT scan (absent radiographic instability).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>Benefit over nonsurgical care is most clearly seen in the first year after surgery.<br />
<sup>2</sup>Safety data for disc replacement not available beyond short-term trials (2 yr). Not enough evidence to determine safety over intended life of disc replacement prosthesis (40 yr). Caution recommended in younger patients and those comorbidities excluded from clinical trials.<br />
<sup>3</sup>See “Diagnosis and Assessment” Chapter for validity of zygapophyseal pain diagnostic strategies.<br />
<sup>4</sup>Comorbidities Cervical pain as part of generalized pain syndrome (e.g., fibromyalgia, somatization disorder, etc.), serious psychological distress or impairment, or metabolic diseases complicating cervical procedures.<br />
<sup>5</sup>Upper cervical or craniocervical fusion for asymmetric alar, transverse or other upper cervical ligaments as seen on MRI or functional CT scan (absent radiographic instability).
CERVICAL DISCOGRAPHY
• A recent systematic review did not find any high quality evidence to support cervical discography, and did not find any studies that show discography could improve clinical outcomes in patients considering cervical surgery.


### Table 3. Incidence of Disc Degeneration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Improved 1 Grade</th>
<th>No Change</th>
<th>Increased 1 Grade</th>
<th>Increased 2 Grades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correctly marked</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>48 (68%)</td>
<td>21 (29%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N = 72)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrectly marked</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>6 (40%)</td>
<td>9 (60%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N = 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **Recommendation:** *Discography for Assessing Acute, Subacute, or Chronic Cervicothoracic Pain or Radicular Pain Syndromes*
  
  – Discography, whether performed as a solitary test or when paired with imaging (e.g., MRI, CT), is **not recommended** for acute, subacute, or chronic cervicothoracic pain or radicular pain syndromes.

• **Strength of Evidence** –
  
  *Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)*
Summary: Guidelines are a neat way to “wrap up” how to treat low back pain, NECK PAIN, and other problems.